



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20531
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/426,548	10/22/1999	DAVID ROBBINS	DEX-0054	2041

7590 03/05/2002

JANE MASSEY LICATA
66 E MAIN STREET
MARLTON, NJ 08053

EXAMINER

WOITACH, JOSEPH T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1632

DATE MAILED: 03/05/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/426,548

Applicant(s)

Robbins et al.

Examiner

Joseph T. Voitach

Group Art Unit

1632



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joseph T. Voitach

(3) _____

(2) Kathleen A. Tyrrell

(4) _____

Date of Interview Mar 4, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None.

Identification of prior art discussed:

None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

In the office action mailed October 21, 2001, paper 18, there was some confusion to whether the action was a final or non-final action. In particular the office action summary and the action were inconsistent. Upon review of the basis for the rejections made in the office action, it was determined that it was a non-final office action. Applicants were informed that the status of the application would be corrected so to indicate that the office action was non-final, and the amendments filed February 26, 2002, paper number 20, would be entered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Joe Voitach